

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIFTH SESSION OF THE MIZORAM LEGISLATIVE  
ASSEMBLY HELD AT THE ASSEMBLY HALL FROM  
19.3.74 TO 2.4.1974.

.....

17th Sitting on the 2nd April, 1974 at 11 A.M.

P R E S E N T :

Pu H. Thansanga, M.A., B.T., Speaker in the Chair. Chief  
Minister four Ministers and twenty seven Members.

B U S I N E S S :

1. Questions.

2. Private Member's Resolutions.

(a) Pu C. Chawngkunga : Constitution of District Councils in  
Aizawl and Lunglei District.

(b) Pu Saitlawma : Up-grading Lunglei sub-Post & Tele-  
graph Office.

**SPEAKER:**

"Without counsels purposes are disappointed;  
but in the multitude of counsellors they are  
established. A man had joy by the answers  
of his mouth and a word spoken in due season, how good is it!.  
He that is greedy of gain troubled his own  
house; but he that hath gifts shall hire".

Now, we shall take up Question No. 63,  
Pu R. Dotinaia.

Unsatisfactory construction work of Govt. Godown at Keitumkawn.

\* 63:PU R. DOTINAIA: Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c of the  
Supply & Transport Department be pleased  
to state -

(a) Whether it is a fact that only Rs 500/- out of Rs 3600/- was  
spent for the construction of Govt. Godown at Keitumkawn in  
Mizoram?.

(b) If so, why?.

(c) Where is the balance amount?.

(d) To whom was the contract work allotted and who supervise the  
work?.

(e) Is the Government satisfied with construction of the said  
Godown?.

(f) If not what action is taken for the unsatisfactory works?.

Nemy/-

.....2/-

PU R. THANGLIANA:  
MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, (a) No (a) Does not arise  
(c) Does not arise. (d) The work was done  
by the people of Bungtlang G.C. and payment  
was made on Muster Roll basis under the supervision of A.O.  
Bungtlang and the V.C.P. no contractor was appointed for the purpose.  
(e) Yes. (f) Does not arise

PU R. DOTINAIA: Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary Question:  
Last year a godown was built at Keitumkawn  
Is the one constructed this year a new  
construction and if so, whether it is a fact that some of its  
old materials were used again?. Whether the department heads  
ever check the building?.

PU R. THANGLIANA:  
MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, the building was new cons-  
truction because Pu Thangliana claimed the  
place. The former location was in Serchhip  
area and tje present one was in Bungtlang area. But according  
to the bill the payment was made on temporary new building for  
the prevention of rain A.D.C. had visited the place and made side  
drains etc.

PU R. DOTINAIA: Mr. Speaker Sir, whether it is a fact that  
Rs 500/- is earned by Pu Lalthuama and not  
more than Rs 1000/- by the High School and  
the remaining amount is given to High School under the Supervision  
of Bungtlang A.O?. If not, where does the remaining sum go?.

PU R. THANGLIANA:  
MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, We have not such detailed  
information. At first Rs 3240/- for 45'x20'  
godown was allotted but due to the need for  
shifting it to other site, the Supply Department proposed for  
50'x18'. However, the building of any godown is under the D.C  
and not under Supply Department. So, there is a need to modify  
its sanction. But while Rs 3240/- was sanctioned A.O. Serchhip  
had spent about Rs 600/-. But due to the shifting to Bungtlang  
area, Rs 3600/- was sanctioned. So, the former sanction was  
cancelled and done by Muster Roll. So, the Government have no  
such information about what the honourable member had ask.

PU C. LALRUATA: Mr. Speaker Sir, Whether the A.O. concerned  
had drawn Rs 3240/- which had been sanctioned  
earliest?. If so, where does that amount  
go?.

PU R. THANGLIANA:  
MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, The sanction being  
cancelled it should be returned if he had  
already drawn the amount. But as Rs 600/-  
had been spent for collecting materials, the remaining sum was  
kept for other purposes (C. Lalruata: I'm not clear about whether  
the amount had been drawn or whether he had returned the remaining  
sum?).

PU R. THANGLIANA:  
MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, As I have said, this  
question is to be answered by the G.A.D.  
and not Supply Department.

PU R. DOTINAIA: Mr. Speaker Sir, who opened the account?.

Nemy/-

.....3/-

PU VANLALHRUAIA :

Mr. Speaker Sir, whether the Govt. ever check the buildings of godown in almost all the Grouping Centres and whether the Government is aware of the fact that large amount of rice damage is due to the bidness of godowns?.

PU R. THANGLIANA:  
MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, such building as are not temporary were built by P.W.D. and those temporary sheds were built by V.C.P. through A.O. thus the account are sure to be kept by D.C., Grouping Branch.

PU R. DOTINAIA

Mr. Speaker Sir, I am not satisfied with the building of Keitum Godown as it is for most of the people of Tui-changral and therefore will the honourable Minister be pleased to scrutinise its expenditure.

R. THANGLIANA  
MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, According to S.D.O Grouping Centre Branch, it was done with the Countersignature of V.C.P. with A.O. and nothing wrong was found. But if there is anything to scrutinize it will be done.

PU C. CHAWNGKUNGA

Mr. Speaker Sir, whether the government never sent a copy of work order to the Village Council concerned?.

PU J. THANGHUAIA :

Mr. Speaker Sir, It has been said that Rs 600/- had been spent from the sanctioned money. So I will the Minister be possible to give answer at 2 pm as to how the balance was done, which I do demand.

PU R. THANGLIANA:  
MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, I am not give whether I will be here in the afternoon but, it will not be difficult to clarify whether the money was drawn or not. Let me say it again. The former sanction of Rs 3250/- to A.O. Serchhip was cancelled due to the need of shifting the site so, the new sanction was made under the jurisdiction of A.O. Bungtlang-But frankly speaking I do not know whether the amount was drawn nor refunded.

PU LAISANGZUAIA

Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary Question: The main reason for rice damage is due to the badness of godown and almost every year a large amount of money was spent for it. A large quantity of building materials for construction of godown and administrative building in Group Centres was bought in 1968 and they are lying un-used in Aizawl and in the Centres. Is there no proposal to use them?.

PU R. THANGLIANA  
MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, As I know, those materials were under the charge of PWD now, and I do not know about the proposal for its uses.

Nery/-

.....4/-

**SPEAKER :** Now, we will take up Question No. 64 Pu Dotinaia. We will do later on what you would like to know.

Irregularity of classes of Nurses' training and improper arrangement for their Messing.

\* 64: PU R. DOTINAIA: Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c of the Medical Department be pleased to state -

(a) Is it a fact that the training classes for Nurses' Training at Civil Hospital Aizawl are very irregular and that proper arrangement for their messing is not made?

(b) If so, is the Civil Surgeon Aizawl District aware of it?

**PU P. B. NIKHUMA:  
DY. MINISTER** Mr. Speaker Sir, (a) Regular classes are held as far as practicable and messing is also arranged according to their monthly stipends.

(b) Yes, G.S. Aizawl arranged one IV Grade staff as a Cook for the trainees.

**SPEAKER:** Question No. 65: Pu R. Dotinaia

Amalgamation of Basic Training Centre and Normal Training School

\*65: PU R. DOTINAIA: Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c of the Education Department be pleased to state -

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Government intends to amalgamate the Basic Training Centre and Normal School?

(b) If so, why?

**PU VAIVENGA:  
MINISTER.** Mr. Speaker Sir, (a) Yes. (b) With a view to re-organise training of L.P. School & M.E. School teachers for the purpose of extending training facilities both qualitatively and quantitatively.

**PU R. ZOLIANA:** Mr. Speaker, Supplementary Question: Will the Minister incharge State the reason for our educational development because of this amalgamation of Basic and Normal training. Secondly, Is it a fact that there is a proposal to open such training both in Aizawl and Lunglei.

**PU VAIVENGA:  
MINISTER.** Mr. Speaker Sir, Yes, it is proposed to open such training School at Lunglei because the Central Government object the proposal to open normal training School at Lunglei. In the present condition we are not able to train more teachers. Therefore, we proposed to open such trainings both in Aizawl and Lunglei.

PU C. LALRUATA : Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary Question: Whether there is any amalgamated training School in other States?. Or is it a Tripura Pattern?. And will it not be possible to shorten the period of training from two years to one year?

PU R. DOMINAJA : Mr. Speaker Sir, Will the period of Basic training become 4 years after combined with Normal Training? And whether the government proposed to open condensed course for about six months or so,?.

PU R. ZOLIANA : Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary Question: What advantage does the government expect in combining these two training Schools?. Whether the Govt. is aware of the fact that the Basic trainees have completed their course including hand work which are scheduled for two years?.

PU C. CHAWNGKUNGA Mr. Speaker Sir, It seems that some inferiority complex will arise between the teachers of M.E. School and L.P. School if we amalgamate. How would the government solve that problem?. Again, when do the government propose to function the combined training at Lunglei?.

PI SAPTAWNI Mr. Speaker Sir, whether the teacher of different qualifications learn the same subject if it is amalgamated?.

PU VAIVENGA MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, we do not consider the pattern of other States. And the teacher will never learn same subject. It is also under consideration to have syllabus of VCRT. With a view to improve our education we are proposing this new method.

PU C. LALRUATA : Mr. Speaker Sir, whether craft training had been introduced in Primary School?.

PU VANLALHRUAIA : Mr. Speaker Sir, whether the government is aware of the fact that many schools suffered because three or four teachers were deputed to train from one school without filling these vacancies.

PU SANGKHUMA : Mr. Speaker Sir, who is to select those teacher for training?. because the selection made was not proper enough?.

PU VAIVENGA MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, the vacancy caused by training has been filled up. Craft teaching had been introduced in L.P. School with examination also. The selection has been made in order of merit by the Inspecting staff with the approval of the Directorate.

Nemy/-

,.....6/-

PU R. ZOLIAMA: Mr. Speaker Sir, whether the government could cancel this proposal and to consult our Mizo educationist and Consultative Committee on Education?

PU SATTAWIA: Mr. Speaker Sir, on what ground these Teachers' Association were formed? (Speaker: It may not be relevant) And do we have any Rules to comply with these Associations?

Speaker; We must not demand assurance is asking question from the Minister i/c. It is only to elicit information and to suggest action to be taken on matter of public importance. It is good for us to know not to demand such assurance.

PU CH. SAPRAWNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, whether there was any Consultation with the Educational Consultative Committee for this new method? If not, why not?

PU VAIWENGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, we have no such consultation as we hope this to be good for our education. There was no loyal recognition of any associations. We are to function at Lunglei soon. Now, we had completed the accommodation and furniture. We never thought of such inferiority complex, but we do hope they could share their knowledge together.

SPEAKER: Question No. 66 Pu Hrangvela.

Plan and scheme for the improvement of Town Roads.

\* 66: PU H. HRANGVELA: Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c of P.W.D. be pleased to state.

Whether there are plans and schemes for the improvement of Town Road? If so, has the Govt. implemented them?

PU CH. CHUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, it has not been possible to draw definite plan and scheme for the improvement of Town Road. A Committee have been forward for this purpose. The preliminary report submitted by the Committee is under examination.

PU NGURDAWLA: Mr. Speaker Sir, whether there was any proposal to refer that preliminary report to the P.W.D. Consultative Committees? or is there any idea that the P.W.D. Consultative Committee should not interfere in the matter?

PU CH. CHUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, As far as I know, Consultative Committee is called meeting only when there is a point for discussion and suggestion to the administration of the Government. But if it is the rule to consult such Committee for plan and scheme it will also be practiced.

- PU F. HRANGVELA: Mr. Speaker Sir, what is the main difficulty to have plan and scheme for our Town Road till today in a new State?
- PU CH. CHHUNGA:  
CHIEF MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, As we want to have better plan and scheme, we have now formed the Committee also. In the past also, the District Council had some plans. The problem we faced now is how to improve our road and for which we had formed the Committees we do hope that the Committee could handle it better.
- PU NGURDAWLA: Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary Question: As I know, such Committee were the body from which the government could collect the possibilities for any project like Town Road extension etc. So, whether it will be possible to consult those Committee on such projects?
- PU C. CHHUNGA:  
CHIEF MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, the government never depend on Consultative Committee but the various departments have share in plans and schemes. So, it is not right to commit to such consultative committee.
- PU C. LALRUATA: Mr. Speaker Sir, will not the department consult the Consultative Committee on such controversial and policy decision matters but not wholly depend on it? If not, what is the use of having Consultative Committee?
- PU CH. CHHUNGA:  
CHIEF MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, I do not think this question of this town road development be controversial. However, it is not possible to consult at all time.
- SPEAKER: In other States they have Standing Committee which we called Consultative Committee here. Som if the Members are not satisfied, it will be good to have a talk with the Ministry later on.
- PU SAPLIANA: Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary Question: Whether the government form such Committee at Lunglei? If not, is there any proposal to do so?
- PU F. HRANGVELA: Mr. Speaker Sir, who are the members of the Committee? Are the MLAs included in it? Will it be the Principle of Democracy to form without the representatives of the people?
- PU CH. CHHUNGA:  
CHIEF MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, there are many Committee where the representatives of the people do not participate. However, if this Committee was formed anti-democratic, it will be considered again, And the Town Road Committee has not been found at Lunglei yet, which is under consideration.

PU SANGKHUMA: Mr. Speaker Sir, whether the Local Aizawl M.L.A. included in the Committee?

PU CH. CHHUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, I have answered that point. But the members are Finance  
CHIEF MINISTER. Secretary, Executive Engineer, D/G & S.P.

PU R. THANGLIANA: Mr. Speaker Sir let me give answer to the  
MINISTER. former question. Rs 3240/- for the Construction of Keitum godown was sanctioned But without releasing the money the A.O. was instructed to start the work and he had incurred Rs 600/- But as the site has to be shifted the A.O. was instructed to preserve the materials already collected unless he can dispose of them. (Py Dotinaia: where does he put the materials?)

PU VANLALHURUAIA: Mr. Speaker Sir, whether any action has been taken against the Gransevak of Hhahlan who mis-used the allotted sanction of more than Rs 1080/- for the construction of Godown?

PU R. THANGLIANA: Mr. Speaker Sir, the case of Keitum was not  
MINISTER. at all misappropriation as Pu Hruaia had mentioned. He simply spent Rs 600/- for collection of materials which he was instructed to preserve. But I do not know where he kept this materials from here.

SPEAKER: Question No.67 Pu Hrangvela.

Undertakings and execution of works at the will of P.W.D. Officers.

\* 67: PU F. HRANGVELA: Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c of the PWD be pleased to state -

Whether the Government is aware of the fact that works to be undertaken and executed depend upon the will of the P.W.D. Officers?

PU CH. CHHUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, no, the government is not  
CHIEF MINISTER. aware of such fact.

PU LALSANGZUALA: Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary Question: whether it is a fact that so many retaining walls in Aizawl collapsed because it was not done according to the P.W.D. specification ?.

Secondly, whether some PWD officers gave the bill for cement mortar at Rs 181 per Cubic metre which the scheduled rate is Rs 131?-

Thirdly, Is it a fact that PWD officers are satisfied if the retaining wall are plastered with less cement mortar?.

Fourthly, will it be good to check the retaining walls and take action against the Contractor and Officials for better results in future?.

PU CH. CHHUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, I have no such knowledge  
CHIEF MINISTER. of many retaining walls collapsed and also the rate per cubic metre. The condition is that we used to advise our officers to check those works for better results.

Ngry/-

....9/-



PU LALKUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, who created these works?  
Are not the P.W.D. Officers?.

PU F. HRANGVELLA: Mr. Speaker Sir, Will be possible for the  
government to see the proposed works before  
the actual work has been done?.

PU CH. CHIRUNGA:  
CHIEF MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, we have never known that  
works are done easily when one officer  
favoured his relatives or so. However, we  
will consider those things. Work schedule need government approval  
therefore all these things were done with the knowledge of the  
government itself.

SPEAKER: Question No. 68 Hrangvela.

Shortage of fund due to allotment of works on Crash Schemes to  
the favourite Contractors.

\* 68: PU F. HRANGVELLA: Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c of the PWD  
Department be pleased to state -

Whether the government is aware of the fact that the PWD has  
been giving works to their favourite contractors on crash prog-  
rammes which results in shortage of fund?.

PU CH. CHIRUNGA:  
CHIEF MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, no, government is not aware  
of the existence of such case.

PU C. LALRUATA: Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary Question:  
Has tender been called for the building of  
Development Ministers' residence at McDonald  
Hills? If not, will it not be crash programme.

PU CH. CHIRUNGA:  
CHIEF MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, tender was called for it,  
There is no such existence as that the PWD  
officers favoured their near relatives.

PU C. LALRUATA: Mr. Speaker Sir, if so, when and what was  
the last date for that tender?.

PU CH. CHIRUNGA:  
CHIEF MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, I do not know in detail.

PU C. CHAWNGKUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, whether it is a fact that  
someone has some work before the last date  
of a tender?.

PU CH. CHIRUNGA:  
CHIEF MINISTER. Mr. Speaker Sir, the government is not  
aware of this.

PU SAPIIANA: Mr. Speaker Sir, whether the government is  
aware of the fact that works had been  
done for the building of Saiha Treasury  
before tender was called for it.

Very/-

.....10/-

PU T. THANGTUAMA: Mr. Speaker Sir, if we show the list of all those who got contract works nowadays we will know how to say about it. And Mr. Speaker, will it be possible to form Enquiry Commission to verify the expenditure of our budget in crash scheme etc.

PU C. CHAWNGKUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, There are much criticism towards our officers, but I don't find it necessary to have such Enquiry Commission. If it is necessary, these things could be settled by other ways. Again, it may be bardon for our officers also. In some cases there may be an appointment of Contractors whom the officer trust and laid confidence upon them.

SPEAKER: Question hour is over. We received 103 Question in this Session and admitted 91 Starred and 3 Unstarred which we have done on 28th March and 1st April. Among these admitted 9 questions, we have not received answers yet from P.W.D. 15, GAD-1, Supply & Transport -3, However, as per our Rule 50, we will calculate these answers when we get it here so as to enable it for the proceeding. Alright, our next business is private resolution, we have two, one is of Pu C. Chawngkunga, and now let him move.

PU C. CHAWNGKUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, I will not move my resolution.

SPEAKER: In stead of withdrawl, he declines to move it. So, we will take up the second one. Pu Saitlawma.

PU SAITLAWMA: Mr. Speaker Sir, I am very glad for allowing me to move my resolution. My resolution is this - This Assembly recommends to the Government of Mizoram to move Government of India to upgrade Telegraph Office, Lunglei ..... Head Offices Post and Telegraph Department. But, Mr. Speaker, I would like to amend like this - This Assembly recommends that the Govt. of Mizoram do take steps to move govt. of India to upgrade the present Post and Telegraph Department at Lunglei to the Status of full fledged Head Office of the Post & Telegraph Department with immediate effect.

PU HRANGAIA: Mr. Speaker Sir, Is it possible to amend before moving.

SPEAKER: Now, let him move the resolution as amended. There is no difference in its meaning but only its wording.

PU SAITLAWMA: Mr Speaker Sir, I beg to move my resolution - This Assembly recommends that the government of Mizoram do take steps to move govt. of India to upgrade the present sub-office Post & Telegraph Department at Lunglei - Head Office Post and Telegraph Department immediately.

Henry/-

.....11/-

**SPEAKER:** Now, let the mover have the time to speak.

**PU SAITLAWMA:** Mr. Speaker Sir, though this resolution is not a government policy matter but as our Rule 120, provides for such a public interest I do move it. In the principle of the P & T Department there is a provision to have Head Office in every administrative District. But in Mizoram out of three Districts we have only one Head Office, So, if there is one at Lunglei it will benefit many people. Again, the sub-office at Lunglei is now bigger than that of the then sub office at Aizawl before it was upgrade. If we open it as Head office, it will benefit the employees of the P&T Department firstly for the staff of Aizawl Head Office are so busy with the volume of work. Therefore if we pass this and make it successful, it will be for the good of both the P&T Department at Aizawl and Lunglei. Besides these, public will be benefited by postal investment. And it will surely solve unemployment problem to some extent. I do find it very important as it will solve the need of postal communication, mailing operation etc of the interior parts of Mizoram. And we also have budget provision under Demand 29 as it mentioned Social Community, non-refundable contribution in which we have Rs 21,0000/-. Therefore I request this august House to pass this resolution.

**PU NGURDAWLA:** Mr. Speaker Sir, I find this resolution to be very important and want it to be passed. But there may be some impossibilities regarding feasibilities. It may be due to the posting of P & T Superintendent at Silchar which is very important to take in Mizoram, We, the M.L.A clearly known the slow working of P&T Department in some interior parts of Mizoram. And as Pu Saitlawma had said, we can start functioning by Rs 25,0000/- which we have in budget provision I think that we all realised regarding savings, mailing etc, An integration of a country is well realised by the smooth communication,. In some cases, opening of B.P.O. caused slowness in working. So, if we do not sometimes help them from non refundable contribution, the progress of P&T Department will be hampered to some extent. So, I want all the fellow members to say Amen to this resolution. Thank you.

**PU LAISANGZUALA:** Mr. Speaker Sir, As we are to develop in every way, this resolution of Pu Saitlawma is inevitable to pass. And we know we are also having Superintendent of post office very soon. It seemed that nowadays the general service of post and telegraph is worse than before. For example, when I was going to Lunglei I sent telegram before two days. But the telegram spent 4 days which the vehicle spent about 8 hours or so. If we depend on our own income, we the under developed and backward country will never prosper- I have met the P.M.G. and Central Communication Minister, Mr. Bahugana regarding this. They also admitted to consider our country in verbal convention, but which have no any results yet- I also don't understand why our Govt. pay for the transportation charge of mail vehicle. They must again somewhere and lose in some place. In railway, they realised an overall profit. Likewise, in air service also. So, why is it impossible in our State?. Therefore, I want the government to move this resolution.

Norry/-

.....1/-

SPEAKER:

So, if there is no more to say, will the mover add some more?

PU SATTIAWHA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, Regarding the resolution itself, it seemed that members are going to support me. But in taking the chance, I would like to add one more thing. As we all know, the telegraph system in our country is very backward. In Lunglei, there is only one signal with one operator. So, it is very often a difficult task to send an information. Therefore, I want the government to consider this. If not, we are just like living in the outside world. I do suggest our government to consider this in connection to my resolution. Thank you.

SPEAKER:

So, those who like to pass this resolution - 'This Assembly recommends that govt. of Mizoram do take steps to move government of India to upgrade the present sub-office of Post and Telegraph Department at Lunglei to the status of the full fledged Head Office of the Post and Telegraph Department with immediate effect may say 'aye' (Members say 'aye'). Is there any objection (Member kept silent). So, it is passed.

Now, I am very phased for we are about to complete our budget session in peace, but regretted for some members could not get enough time to speak. So, in the next session I want the government to be ready sooner, if not it will be better to do by vote on account. Regarding bill, as I said yesterday, legislation Department, have not marked much yet. But, due to the heavy work there is subordinate legislation also called delegated legislation, let them also work soon for their internal working rule etc. Specially, let the Law Department take steps. I know that many officers are not aware of the working therefore, we must work all together, now, two bills were admitted for consideration. The B.A.C. meeting on 5th March knew that there might be too bills. But it was not ready in time. So, let the Government verify the reason. In case of Consultative Committee also, it is necessary for the legislation to work hard.

As we read out the NEAR ACT '71 yesterday, such rule etc. were included in adaptation rule simply therefore all the amendment were to be done by the legislative Assembly. So, let the Government do take immediate steps and Session will be summoned soon, for it will be unable to do much in one time.

In case of members' facility we have known that the Government is being aware of it from the Minister. And as we saw, our building is not satisfactory but we are still considering for its improvement. And regarding the members' claim, we hope to get new design before the next Session. (Pu Ch. Sapranga: I want my room to be repaired before next Session which used to be met much). We are only staying as it were, so, I do beg members to please understand me.

Nany/-

...13/-

And we must not say much about individual interest in such a honourable House like this. Again we must have a clear proof for what we introduce in the House. It is very dangerous to speak on basilar facts in the House.

(Pu Lalkunga: Will it be possible to include in the proceedings those questions which we still do not get its reply till today And what is the reason for it? It seemed that they do not respect the House, and for which I want clarification?)

(Pu C. Lalruata: I had also pointed out in the last Session that there is mention in the Rule 37 No. 7 as " Unless the Speaker other wise direct reply to question notice of which had been given to the Secretary to the Department concerned shall be sent within ten days from the date of receipt of question by the Department concerned. But even today 15 questions had not been replied by the P.W.D, which appeared as disrespect to the House). Yes, it will be necessary for the respective Minister i/c to take further steps. However, as Rule 50 those questions are able to include in the proceedings.

(Mr. Speaker Sir, I know, it is my privilege I want to P.W.D. Officers to be transferred around. They used to send uncorrect replied, not to the point replies etc)

(Pu Ngurdawla: I think it is time to adopt some measures for it seemed we neglect the honour of the House and the Democracy. Let the Department concerned considered their officers regarding there?)

PU CH. CHHUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, some members had already pointed out the difficulties of our communication. Truly speaking, in replying the question it is often necessary to take information from various posts of the country, which needs to study many files. So, one of the responsible factor is the badness of our communication. (Pu C. Lalruata: One of my question which I submitted 15 days before the Session, which is still not replied was - \* which sub-division of PWD gave scheduled rate Muster Roll and which sub-division do not?" It must be answered within 20 days. Any question may not reached the Department concerned on the date the member submitted it to the Assembly Secretariat. Therefore, it may not be justified only on the base of 20 days. So, I want the members to phrase understand the difficulties. I would like to say it is not yet time to take action. Therefore it is our duty to take very means to solve this problem without taking action straightaway.

SPEAKER: All right, there are some mistakes that the unanswered question from P.W.D. are 16 not 15, 1 from GAD 2 from Supply & Transport and 1 from Medical. It is quite true what our Chief Minister had said. But there are some officers and Departments who does not appreciate the importance of the House. So, it is our own duty to take steps in order to realise, this need. And in asking question, do not submit your question only when the Session is called, you could submit it wherever you like. (Pu Lalruata: Whether the unanswered question can be heard? Was it due to the badness of communication?). (Dotinaia: will it not be answered if Assembly Secretariat, admit any question?) Sometimes it needs to collect information from Saiha, Demagiri, or Lunglei

Nemy/-

.... 17/-

(Pu R. Dotinaia) I think that the Assembly Secretariat admit those question, as it appears to be answered within 15 days as per our Rule, if so, will it not be possible to get its reply?).

PU R. THANGLIAN: M R. Speaker Sir, I think that one question  
MINISTER. is the amount for car repairing at Calcutta  
which seems to be Pu Dotinaia's question.  
I met the Director who said that he received telegram from  
Calcutta the night before and assured to circulate the answer  
the next day.

SPEAKER: So, let it be enough regarding question  
for we have said in General. (Pu J. Thanghuama:  
whether the question is answered due to the  
priority in submitting or the importance of the House).  
(Pu Lalkunga: one question is this - How many Contractor were  
fiven without calling tender in Aizawl A.T. Road).

All right, you may have many more to say,  
so let by gones be bygone.

Now, House Sitting is adjourned Sine Die.

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 P.M.

B.T. SANGA,  
Under Secretary,

Nemy/-  
17/5/75

.....